

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/685,205	10)/10/2000	John W. McCorkle	197023US-8	6032		
23400	7590	06/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
POSZ & BI		•	LIU, SHU	LIU, SHUWANG			
SUITE 10	LIC DATEON	V DIG V E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
RESTON, V	/A 20190		2634	10			
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicatela						
		Application No. Applicant(s)							
055		09/685,205	MCCORKLE ET	MCCORKLE ET AL.					
Office Action Sumn	nary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Shuwang Liu	2634						
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less ti - If NO period for reply is specified above, the n - Failure to reply within the set or extended peri Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. a provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. nan thirty (30) days, a reply naximum statutory period v od for reply will, by statute are months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, ma y within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status	·								
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>10 O</u>	ctober 2000.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .									
3) Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the	ne practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed									
	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>9-21 and 25-30</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers									
9)⊠ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 O</u>	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	one of: priority document priority document copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). n Application No een received in this National	l Stage					
* See the attached detailed Offi	ice action for a list	of the certified copies r	not received.						
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Paviow (PTO 049)		w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date						
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4, 6 and 9. 			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/685,205

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The examiner suggests updating status of the cited applications in the specification if appropriate, for example, page 2, line 21, 09/209,460 should be US Patent 6,700,939.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The information disclosure statement filed 11/06/00 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the patent number should be provided instead of the application number. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

Application/Control Number: 09/685,205

Art Unit: 2634

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-8 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by McCorkle (US 6,735,238).

As shown in figures 7, 8, 9 and 13, McCorkle discloses all of the limitation as recited in claims (column 18 line 63-column 23, line 67 and claim 1).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/685,205

Art Unit: 2634

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1 and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,735,238 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a differential mixer, a pulse generator, et al. as recited in claim 1.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,205

Art Unit: 2634

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9-21 and 25-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/685,205

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2634

June 14, 2004